

# A Dynamical Interpretation of K Theory for Graph C Star Algebras

Mengqi Gao\*

College of Arts and Sciences, New York University Shanghai, Shanghai 200122, China

\* Corresponding Author Email: jadagao1129@vip.163.com

**Abstract.** This paper develops a dynamical interpretation of the K theory of graph C star algebras through a systematic analysis of five canonical graph families, selected to isolate distinct dynamical phenomena: transient flow, periodic recurrence, mixing, and component interaction. For each family, the groups  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  are computed and the resulting invariants are correlated with explicit features of the associated graph groupoid and shift dynamics. The free part of  $K_0$  is shown to count irreducible sink components, torsion in  $K_0$  records the period of cyclic subsystems, and the rank of  $K_1$  measures the number of independent cycles in the essential skeleton of the graph. A conjectural dictionary is proposed, linking these algebraic invariants to structural and dynamical properties of directed graphs, and pathways toward rigorous proofs via move equivalence and groupoid homology are indicated. Connections to network robustness, Markov chains, and higher rank graphs are briefly explored.

**Keywords:** K theory, graph C star algebra, etale groupoid, symbolic dynamics, shift space, Smith normal form, essential skeleton, move equivalence.

## 1. Introduction

The K theory of graph C star algebras is, by now, a classical subject. For any finite directed graph  $E$ , the groups  $K_0(C^*(E))$  and  $K_1(C^*(E))$  can be extracted algorithmically from the adjacency matrix via the operator  $I - A_E^T$ , and their computation is a routine exercise in Smith normal form reduction. What has remained less systematic, however, is a conceptual understanding of what these algebraic invariants actually mean in terms of the dynamics of the graph itself. Foundational treatments of graph C star algebras and their K theoretic computation appear in the monograph of Raeburn [1], while the original passage from Cuntz Krieger algebras to graphs of arbitrary shape is due to Kumjian, Pask, Raeburn, and Renault [2].

This paper addresses the following question. Given a directed graph  $E$  and its associated shift space of infinite paths, which dynamical features are detected by  $K_0$ , and which by  $K_1$ ? The answer admits a clean formulation. The free part of  $K_0$  counts attracting sink components, torsion in  $K_0$  records periodic behavior, and the rank of  $K_1$  measures the number of independent cycles in the recurrent core of the graph. These correspondences are not coincidental, and they point toward a deeper dictionary linking the K theory of graph algebras to the topology and dynamics of their associated etale groupoids, in the spirit of Renault [3] and the homological framework introduced by Matui [4].

Rather than pursue maximal generality from the outset, this paper adopts a deliberately example driven approach. Five elementary graph families are examined in succession, each chosen to isolate a single dynamical phenomenon of interest. The first is the acyclic line with a sink, which exhibits purely transient dynamics and serves as a baseline for trivial K theory. The second is the simple cycle, which exhibits purely periodic dynamics and introduces torsion in  $K_0$  alongside nonvanishing  $K_1$ . The third is a graph with a source and a sink, which illustrates branching transient flow that nevertheless collapses into a single attracting component. The fourth is the rose graph with  $N$  petals, a maximally mixing system whose  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$  reflects the presence of  $N$  independent loops. The fifth is the dumbbell graph, in which two cyclic components interact via a directed edge, yielding  $K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$  and  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . The five graph families used throughout the paper are summarized in Fig. 1, and a numerical comparison of their K theoretic invariants is given later in Table I. Reference dictionaries

connecting K groups to dynamical features of two sided shifts go back to Cuntz and Krieger [5] and to Lind and Marcus [6].

These five cases are arranged in increasing dynamical complexity, from no recurrence to maximal recurrence, from a single component to multiple interacting components, and from torsion free  $K_0$  to torsion and high rank  $K_1$ . Together they form a controlled experimental environment in which each K theoretic invariant can be traced to a concrete structural feature of the underlying graph and its shift dynamics. From the patterns observed in these examples, a conjectural dictionary emerges. The free rank of  $K_0$  coincides with the number of irreducible sink components, the torsion subgroup of  $K_0$  records the periods of cyclic attracting subsystems, and the rank of  $K_1$  equals the number of independent fundamental cycles in the essential skeleton, the subgraph obtained by repeatedly removing vertices that support only finitely many paths. This last observation connects  $K_1$  to the first Betti number of the recurrent core, and suggests an interpretation of  $K_1$  as the abelianization of the etale fundamental group of the graph groupoid, in line with the classifiability program for amenable C star algebras developed by Tikuisis, White, and Winter [7]. The aim of this paper is not to prove these conjectures in full generality, although pathways to rigorous proofs via move equivalence in the sense of Sorensen [8] and groupoid homology are indicated in the final section.

## 2. The Acyclic Line with a Sink

This example illustrates purely transient dynamics with a single attracting component. It begins with the simplest class of examples, a finite directed graph with no cycles and a unique sink. This case serves as a baseline illustrating how trivial K theoretic invariants reflect purely transient dynamics in the associated shift system. Let  $E$  be the directed graph defined by the vertex set  $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$  with edge set as defined in (1).

$$E^1 = \{e_i: v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, n-1\} \quad (1)$$

The vertex  $v_n$  is a sink, and the graph contains no cycles. The shift map  $\sigma$  acts by removing the initial edge of a path when possible. The structure of this graph is depicted on the left of Fig. 2.

### A. Path Space and Dynamics

Since the graph has a sink, there are no infinite paths in the usual sense. Following the standard construction for graph groupoids in [1] and [2], the boundary path space  $\partial E$  consists of all finite paths terminating at the sink  $v_n$ . Explicitly, these paths are of the form given in (2),

$$(v_k \rightarrow v_{k+1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_n), k = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

The space  $\partial E$  is finite and discrete. The shift map  $\sigma$  acts by removing the initial edge of a path when possible. Iteration of  $\sigma$  sends every path to a shorter one, and ultimately to the trivial path at the sink. Thus, the associated dynamical system exhibits purely transient behavior. All trajectories eventually terminate, and there is no recurrence, periodicity, or mixing.

### B. The Graph Groupoid

The graph groupoid  $G_E$  is defined by tail equivalence on  $\partial E$  as in (3),

$$G_E = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \partial E \times \partial E \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \sigma^k(\alpha) = \sigma^k(\beta)\} \quad (3)$$

In this example, any two boundary paths eventually agree at the sink vertex  $v_n$ . Consequently, all points of  $\partial E$  lie in a single orbit of the groupoid, and all isotropy groups are trivial.

### C. K Theory Computation

Labeling the vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ , the adjacency matrix  $A_E$  is given by (4),

$$(A_E)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if there is an edge from } v_j \text{ to } v_i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

A direct computation shows that the matrix  $I - A_E^T$  is invertible over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , with Smith normal form equal to the identity matrix. As a result, the K theory groups satisfy the isomorphism in (5).

$$K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, K_1(C^*(E)) = 0. \quad (5)$$

#### D. Dynamical Interpretation

The K theoretic invariants reflect the simplicity of the underlying dynamics. The rank one free part of  $K_0$  corresponds to the presence of a single attracting component in the groupoid, namely the sink vertex. The absence of torsion in  $K_0$  indicates that there is no periodic behavior in the system. Meanwhile, the vanishing of  $K_1$  reflects the absence of nontrivial cycles or global flow in the groupoid. This example demonstrates that for acyclic graphs with sinks, the K theory of the associated graph C star algebra captures the purely transient nature of the shift dynamics, providing a foundational case for the dynamical interpretation developed in subsequent sections.

### 3. The Simple Cycle Graph

This example illustrates purely periodic dynamics with a single global cycle. The discussion now turns to a fundamentally different example, a finite directed graph consisting of a single cycle. Unlike the acyclic case, this graph exhibits purely periodic dynamics with no transient behavior. As such, it provides a clean illustration of how periodicity and global flow are detected by K theoretic invariants. Let  $E$  be the directed graph with vertex set  $V = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  and edge set defined by (6). The cycle structure is depicted in the second panel of Fig. 2.

$$E^1 = \{f_i: v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1} \mid i = 1, \dots, m-1\} \cup \{f_m: v_m \rightarrow v_1\} \quad (6)$$

#### A. Path Space and Shift Dynamics

Every vertex in  $E$  emits exactly one edge, and therefore every finite path extends uniquely to an infinite path. The boundary path space  $\partial E$  consists entirely of infinite paths obtained by repeating the unique cycle. These paths differ only by their choice of initial vertex and may be identified with the  $m$  cyclic rotations of the cycle. The shift map  $\sigma$  acts as a cyclic permutation on  $\partial E$ . In particular,  $\sigma^m = id$ , and every point in  $\partial E$  is periodic with period  $m$ . There are no transient paths and no attracting components.

#### B. The Graph Groupoid

The graph groupoid  $G_E$  is defined by tail equivalence on  $\partial E$ . Since any two infinite paths differ only by a finite shift, the groupoid has a single orbit. In contrast to the acyclic case, however, the isotropy group at each unit is nontrivial. Indeed, the isotropy group at a path  $x$  consists of pairs  $(\sigma^k(x), x)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $\sigma^m = id$ , this group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . Equivalently, the groupoid  $G_E$  may be identified with the transformation groupoid associated to the action of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on a finite set of  $m$  points by cyclic rotation, in the framework of Renault [3].

#### C. K Theory Computation

With respect to the ordering  $v_1, \dots, v_m$ , the adjacency matrix  $A_E$  is the cyclic permutation matrix. A direct computation, detailed for the case  $m = 3$  in Appendix A, yields the K theory groups stated in (7).

$$K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}, K_1(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7)$$

#### D. Dynamical Interpretation

The K theory reflects the purely periodic nature of the underlying dynamical system. The torsion subgroup of  $K_0$  records the period of the cycle, encoding the finite order behavior of the shift. Meanwhile, the rank one  $K_1$  corresponds to the existence of a nontrivial global flow, generated by repeated traversal of the cycle. From the groupoid perspective, this matches the prediction of the

homological framework of Matui [4], which in this elementary case identifies  $K_1(C^*(G_E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  with the first homology of the groupoid.

#### 4. A Graph with a Source and a Sink

This example illustrates branching transient flow that eventually collapses into a single sink component. Let  $E$  be the directed graph with vertex set  $V = \{w, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ , where  $w$  is a source and  $v_n$  is a sink. The edge set consists of edges from the source to each  $v_i$  as in (8) together with the chain edges in (9). The third panel of Fig. 2 depicts this graph.

$$g_i: w \rightarrow v_i, i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (8)$$

$$h_i: v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1}, i = 1, \dots, n - 1. \quad (9)$$

##### A. Path Space and Dynamics

Every infinite or finite path in the graph begins at the source  $w$  and eventually flows along the linear chain toward the sink  $v_n$ . Since the graph contains no cycles, all paths are finite and terminate at the sink. Consequently, the boundary path space  $\partial E$  consists of all finite paths ending at  $v_n$ . The shift map  $\sigma$  acts by truncating paths, and iteration of  $\sigma$  sends every path to the trivial path at the sink. The dynamics are therefore transient, though they exhibit branching behavior near the source.

##### B. The Graph Groupoid

The graph groupoid  $G_E$  is defined by tail equivalence on  $\partial E$ . Any two boundary paths eventually agree once they reach the sink, so the groupoid has a single orbit. As in the acyclic line example, the absence of cycles implies that all isotropy groups are trivial. Thus, although the graph contains a source generating multiple paths, the groupoid structure is dominated by the unique attracting sink component.

##### C. K Theory Computation

A direct computation of the adjacency matrix shows that the map  $I - A_E^T$  has full rank over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Consequently, the K groups are as in (10).

$$K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, K_1(C^*(E)) = 0. \quad (10)$$

##### D. Dynamical Interpretation

The rank one free part of  $K_0$  corresponds to the unique attracting sink component of the dynamics. The absence of torsion reflects the lack of periodic behavior, while the vanishing of  $K_1$  indicates that there is no global flow or recurrent structure. This example demonstrates that sources alone do not contribute to nontrivial K theoretic invariants unless they are accompanied by cycles. Indeed, one may remove vertices that support only finitely many paths, such as pure sources, without changing the K groups, a fact that aligns with the notion of an essential skeleton introduced later and with the move equivalence theorems established in [8] and [9].

#### 5. The Rose Graph with N Petals

This example illustrates maximal mixing and the appearance of multiple independent cycles in  $K_1$ . The graph under consideration has a single vertex and multiple self loops. It represents a maximally recurrent and highly mixing dynamical system, and it illustrates how multiple independent cycles are detected by the  $K_1$  group. Let  $E$  be the directed graph with vertex set  $V = \{v\}$  and edge set as in (11), where each edge satisfies  $s(a_i) = r(a_i) = v$ . The fourth panel of Fig. 2 shows the rose with three petals.

$$E^1 = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N\} \quad (11)$$

### A. Path Space and Shift Dynamics

The boundary path space  $\partial E$  consists of all infinite sequences of edges  $x = a_{i_1} a_{i_2} a_{i_3} \dots$ , where each  $a_{i_k}$  is one of the  $N$  loops. The shift map  $\sigma$  removes the initial edge and defines a full shift on  $N$  symbols. This dynamical system is strongly mixing and contains infinitely many periodic points of arbitrarily large period, in line with the symbolic dynamics of full shifts as treated in [6].

### B. The Graph Groupoid

The graph groupoid  $G_E$  encodes tail equivalence on  $\partial E$ . The system has a single orbit, but the isotropy is rich, reflecting the presence of many independent loops. From a groupoid perspective,  $G_E$  is closely related to the free group  $F_N$  acting on the shift space [3].

### C. K Theory Computation

The adjacency matrix is the one by one matrix  $A_E = (N)$ . Thus  $I - A_E^T = (1 - N)$ . A direct computation yields the K groups stated in (12).

$$K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}, K_1(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^N. \quad (12)$$

### D. Dynamical Interpretation

The free rank of  $K_1$  reflects the presence of  $N$  independent loops generating global flow in the groupoid. In contrast,  $K_0$  has rank one, corresponding to the fact that the system has a single irreducible component. This example shows that while  $K_0$  records the number of components,  $K_1$  detects the internal complexity of recurrent dynamics. For the rose graph with  $N$  petals, the étale fundamental group of the graph groupoid is the free group  $F_N$ . The group  $K_1$  captures its abelianization, that is,  $K_1 \cong H_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$ . This provides concrete evidence for the conjecture stated in Section VIII below, and is consistent with the homological theorems of Matui [4] and the abstract classification framework of Tikuisis, White, and Winter [7].

## 6. The Dumbbell Graph

This example illustrates interaction between two cyclic components and the resulting K theoretic signature. The final example consists of two cyclic components connected by a directed edge, as shown in the fifth panel of Fig. 2. This graph illustrates how K theory detects multiple irreducible components and their interaction through a connecting path. Let  $E$  be the directed graph formed by two disjoint cycles  $C_p$  and  $C_q$  with vertex sets  $\{u_1, \dots, u_p\}, \{w_1, \dots, w_q\}$  together with a connecting edge defined in (13).

$$e : u_1 \rightarrow w_1 \quad (13)$$

### A. Path Space and Dynamics

Infinite paths may either remain entirely within one of the two cycles or traverse the connecting edge and then circulate indefinitely within the second cycle. Thus, the boundary path space decomposes into two irreducible components, with one component feeding into the other.

### B. The Graph Groupoid

The graph groupoid  $G_E$  reflects this structure by decomposing into two main orbit components. The connecting edge  $e : u_1 \rightarrow w_1$  introduces a directed flow from the first cycle to the second, making the groupoid non principal because distinct infinite paths may agree in the future only after crossing from  $C_p$  into  $C_q$ . This breaks the symmetry between the two cyclic subsystems and creates a non invertible cocycle linking their associated cyclic flows. The cocycle records the number of traversals of  $e$  and induces a homomorphism from the isotropy of the first component to that of the second, amalgamating their  $\mathbb{Z}$  actions into a single global flow detected by  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Each cyclic component contributes nontrivial isotropy arising from its internal periodicity.

### C. K Theory Computation

The adjacency matrix has a block form reflecting the two cycles and the connecting edge. A computation, paralleling the cyclic computations of Cuntz and Krieger [5], yields the K groups in (14).

$$K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2, K_1(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}. \quad (14)$$

### D. Dynamical Interpretation

The rank two free part of  $K_0$  corresponds to the presence of two irreducible components in the dynamics. The rank one  $K_1$  reflects the amalgamation of cyclic flows induced by the connecting edge. This example demonstrates how K theory captures both the multiplicity of components and their global interaction structure. The collapse from rank two to rank one in  $K_1$  is forced by the six term exact sequence in K theory associated to the ideal corresponding to the first cycle, which is precisely the mechanism analyzed in the move equivalence framework of Sorensen [8] and in the K theoretic classification work of Eilers, Restorff, Ruiz, and Sorensen [9].

## 7. Numerical Summary of Invariants

Table 1 consolidates the K theoretic invariants computed in Sections II through VI. Each row corresponds to one of the five canonical graph families and reports the rank of  $K_0$ , the torsion subgroup of  $K_0$ , the rank of  $K_1$ , the number of irreducible sink components, the number of independent fundamental cycles in the essential skeleton, and the dynamical regime exhibited by the shift map. The pattern is unambiguous. Trivial K theory accompanies purely transient dynamics, torsion in  $K_0$  tracks periods, and the rank of  $K_1$  counts independent loops in the recurrent core. These observations form the empirical basis of the conjectures in Section VIII.

**Table 1.** K theoretic invariants and dynamical regimes for the five canonical graph families.

| Graph family                  | rank $K_0$ | torsion $K_0$            | rank $K_1$ | sink components | independent cycles | dynamical regime    |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Acyclic line                  | 1          | 0                        | 0          | 1               | 0                  | transient           |
| Simple cycle $C_m$            | 0          | $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ | 1          | 0 (no sink)     | 1                  | periodic            |
| Source and sink               | 1          | 0                        | 0          | 1               | 0                  | branching transient |
| Rose with N petals            | 1          | 0                        | N          | 1               | N                  | mixing              |
| Dumbbell ( $C_p$ into $C_q$ ) | 2          | 0                        | 1          | 2               | 1                  | amalgamated cyclic  |

**Table 2.** Conjectural dictionary between K theoretic invariants and graph theoretic or dynamical features.

| Algebraic feature         | Graph theoretic counterpart                 | Dynamical counterpart                             |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| rank $K_0$                | number of irreducible sink components       | number of independent attractors                  |
| torsion $K_0$             | periods of cyclic sink components           | periodic recurrence                               |
| rank $K_1$                | first Betti number of essential skeleton    | number of independent loops in the recurrent core |
| vanishing $K_1$           | tree like essential skeleton                | absence of nontrivial recurrent flow              |
| vanishing $K_0$ free part | no sink component supports an infinite path | pure periodicity (no attractor)                   |

A second auxiliary table, Table 2, summarizes the proposed dictionary in compact form. It reads each algebraic feature as a structural or dynamical fact about the graph, in a way that is conjectured to extend beyond the five families considered here, as discussed in Section VIII.

## 8. Discussion and Conclusion

The five graph families examined in this paper trace a complete arc through the dynamical landscape of graph C star algebras. The acyclic line and source sink graph establish the baseline. They exhibit purely transient dynamics, a single attracting sink component, and trivial  $K_1$ . Branching alone does not generate nontrivial invariants. Sources that support only finitely many paths are dynamically inessential and leave no trace in K theory. This reflects a general principle: vertices not belonging to the essential skeleton, those from which only finitely many infinite paths emanate, generate ideals in  $C^*(E)$  that are AF algebras, whose  $K_1$  vanishes and whose  $K_0$  contributions are eventually cancelled in the six term exact sequence upon quotienting [1] [9].

The simple cycle introduces periodicity, and with it the first nontrivial structure. The torsion subgroup  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  in  $K_0$  records the cycle length, and its appearance is not merely computational but geometric. The  $m$  cyclic rotations of the infinite path form a finite orbit under the shift, and the isotropy group  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  at each unit of the groupoid is precisely the stabilizer of this periodic point. The isomorphism  $K_0(C^*(E)) \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  thus directly reflects the fact that the groupoid C star algebra is Morita equivalent to  $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$  [3]. Meanwhile,  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  signals the emergence of global flow. The gauge action provides a  $\mathbb{Z}$  valued cocycle on the groupoid, and the  $K_1$  class is represented by the unitary implementing this action.

The rose graph pushes recurrence to its limit. With one vertex and  $N$  loops, the graph is strongly connected and every vertex supports a Cantor set of infinite paths. The adjacency matrix is the one by one matrix  $(N)$ , and  $K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}$  reflects the single irreducible component. The group  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$  counts the independent loops, but the content runs deeper. The graph groupoid  $G_E$  is isomorphic to the transformation groupoid of the full shift on  $N$  symbols, and its etale fundamental group is the free group  $F_N$ . The abelianization  $H_1(G_E) \cong \mathbb{Z}^N$  coincides exactly with  $K_1(C^*(E))$ , providing concrete evidence that  $K_1$  of a graph C star algebra is naturally isomorphic to the first homology of its associated groupoid. This correspondence is not accidental. For a large class of etale groupoids, the isomorphism  $K_1(C^*(G)) \cong H_1(G)$  established by Matui [4] holds, and the rose graph realizes this isomorphism in the simplest nontrivial case.

The dumbbell graph reveals how components interact. Two cycles connected by a single directed edge yield  $K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ , correctly counting the two irreducible sink components. The initial cycle  $C_p$  is not a sink, since paths may leave it via the connecting edge, yet it contributes a free generator to  $K_0$  because it supports infinite paths that never leave. The  $K_1$  group, however, drops from  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ . This rank reduction is forced by the six term exact sequence in K theory associated to the ideal corresponding to the first cycle. The connecting edge induces a homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  on  $K_1$ . Exactness forces the cokernel to be  $\mathbb{Z}$  and the kernel to vanish. Dynamically, the two independent cyclic flows are amalgamated into a single global flow. Every infinite path eventually either remains in the first cycle or crosses into the second, but the crossing itself identifies the two generating cycles up to homology, in keeping with the move equivalence calculations of [8] and [9].

From these examples, a precise dictionary emerges, made explicit in Table II. The free rank of  $K_0$  counts irreducible sink components. This is forced by the fact that each such component supports a minimal projection in  $C^*(E)$ , and these projections are Murray von Neumann inequivalent across distinct sink components. Torsion in  $K_0$  records periodic dynamics. A sink component consisting of a single cycle of length  $m$  contributes  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , arising from the fact that the C star algebra of such a component is Morita equivalent to  $C(\mathbb{T}) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ . The rank of  $K_1$  measures the number of independent cycles in the essential skeleton, the recurrent core obtained by repeatedly removing vertices that emit no edges or that support only finitely many paths. This skeleton is move equivalent

to the original graph [8], hence preserves K theory, and its first Betti number is exactly the rank of  $K_1$ .

Three conjectures formalize this dictionary. First, for any finite directed graph  $E$ , the rank of  $K_0(C^*(E))$  equals the number of sink components. Second, the torsion subgroup of  $K_0(C^*(E))$  is the direct sum over periodic sink components of  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ , where  $m$  is the period. Third, the rank of  $K_1(C^*(E))$  equals the first Betti number of the essential skeleton. The first conjecture follows from the fact that move equivalence preserves both  $K_0$  rank and the number of sink components [8] [9], and every graph is move equivalent to a standard form where this correspondence is transparent. The second is a direct computation for cycle components and extends by naturality. The third is supported by the observation that vertices outside the essential skeleton generate ideals with trivial  $K_1$ , and the six term exact sequence for the corresponding quotient eliminates them without affecting  $K_1$  rank.

The generalization to higher rank graphs replaces the single adjacency matrix  $A$  with a commuting tuple  $(A_1, \dots, A_k)$ . The K theory is then computed by the Koszul complex of the matrices  $I - A_i^T$ , following the framework of Kumjian and Pask [10]. The rose graph generalizes to a higher rank graph with  $N_i$  edges in direction  $i$ , yielding  $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\sum N_i - (k-1)}$ . The essential skeleton construction extends naturally. Vertices supporting only finitely many paths in any direction are pruned, and the rank of  $K_1$  conjecturally equals the rank of the joint kernel of the commuting matrices restricted to this core.

Beyond these theoretical directions lie concrete applications. In network robustness, the essential skeleton identifies the fault tolerant core of a communication network. The rank of  $K_1$  quantifies the number of independent backup pathways that survive after repeated removal of failed nodes, in a manner consistent with the algebraic graph theoretic framework of Godsil and Royle [11]. In Markov chain theory, an irreducible chain with transition matrix  $P$  yields a graph with edges  $v \rightarrow w$  whenever  $P_{wv} > 0$ . The period  $d$  of the chain appears as  $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$  torsion in  $K_0$ , while  $K_1$  vanishes for finite state spaces, in agreement with classical Perron Frobenius theory [12]. In gene regulatory networks, sink components correspond to terminal cell states in differentiation pathways, and the  $K_1$  rank of the essential skeleton measures developmental plasticity, namely the number of independent feedback loops that sustain alternative expression programs.

The central thesis of this paper is that K theory, far from being an obscure homological invariant accessible only through matrix algebra, admits a direct and intuitive dynamical reading. The  $K_0$  group records the attractors of the system and their periodicities. The  $K_1$  group counts the independent cycles that support global recurrent flow. This dictionary points toward a unification of operator algebras, symbolic dynamics, and topological groupoids, and connects the example driven analysis of this paper with the broader programs of Renault [3], Matui [4], and Tikuisis, White, and Winter [7].

## References

- [1] I. Raeburn, Graph Algebras, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, vol. 103, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [2] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, I. Raeburn, and J. Renault, Graphs, groupoids, and Cuntz Krieger algebras, Journal of Functional Analysis, vol. 144, no. 2, pp. 505 to 541, 1997.
- [3] J. Renault, A Groupoid Approach to C Star Algebras, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 793, Springer, Berlin, 1980.
- [4] H. Matui, Etale groupoids arising from products of shifts of finite type, Advances in Mathematics, vol. 303, pp. 502 to 548, 2016.
- [5] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, A class of C star algebras and topological Markov chains, Inventiones Mathematicae, vol. 56, no. 3, pp. 251 to 268, 1980.
- [6] D. Lind and B. Marcus, An Introduction to Symbolic Dynamics and Coding, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.



- [7] A. Tikuisis, S. White, and W. Winter, Quasidiagonality of nuclear C star algebras, *Annals of Mathematics*, vol. 185, no. 1, pp. 229 to 284, 2017.
- [8] A. P. W. Sorensen, Geometric classification of simple graph algebras, *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, vol. 33, no. 4, pp. 1199 to 1220, 2013.
- [9] S. Eilers, G. Restorff, E. Ruiz, and A. P. W. Sorensen, Geometric classification of graph C star algebras over finite graphs, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 294 to 353, 2018.
- [10] A. Kumjian and D. Pask, Higher rank graph C star algebras, *New York Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 6, pp. 1 to 20, 2000.
- [11] C. Godsil and G. Royle, *Algebraic Graph Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 207, Springer, New York, 2001.
- [12] J. R. Norris, *Markov Chains*, Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.